Appendix D

Chapter 10: Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproduct Rule

Operational Evaluation Level Forms

- Additional OEL Information
- Operational Evaluation Level Forms
 Operational Evaluation Report
 Source Water Checklist
 Treatment Process Evaluation Checklist
 Distributional System Evaluation Checklist

Systems are required to complete all of the following forms if they exceed the OEL. If your water system exceeds the OEL, you must conduct an operational evaluation and submit a written report of the evaluation to the Illinois EPA no later than 90 days after being notified of the analytical result that causes it to exceed the OEL. A water system may request to limit the scope of their evaluation if they are able to identify the cause of the operational evaluation level exceedence.

			_					
I. GENERAL INFORMATION								
A. Facility Information								
PWSID:	IL1150	350						
State:	IL	Zip: (52549					
B. Report Prepared by:								
Date prepar	ed: <u>3/6</u>	/24						
_	_							
: 217-403-337	3							
OEL was Exceed	ed.							
in your Stage 2 DB	PR complia	ance moni	toring plan.					
lude duplicate pa	ges if ther	e was mo	ore than					
sed the OEL	X TTHM	□ H	HAA5					
ou checked abov	e).							
	,							
r's Current								
Quarter		/aiuation	value					
С	D = ((A+B+(2*(C))/4					
11/14/23	3							
0.105		0.089						
the past?		X Yes	□No					
If YES, when did exceedance occur?								
Was the cause determined for the previous exceedance(s)? ☑ Yes ☐ No								
	OEL	X Yes	□No					
e u y e	Date preparer: 217-403-337 e OEL was Exceed to be in your Stage 2 DB clude duplicate paraused the OEL you checked above er's Current Quarter C 11/14/20 0.105 Comming the two previous of the past?	State: IL Date prepared: 3/6 er: 217-403-3373 e OEL was Exceeded. e in your Stage 2 DBPR compliance clude duplicate pages if there used the OEL TTHM you checked above). er's Current Quarter C D = 0 11/14/23 0.105 Imming the two previous quarter ur. If the value exceeds 0.080 me the past?	State: IL Zip: 6 Date prepared: 3/6/24 er: 217-403-3373 e OEL was Exceeded. e in your Stage 2 DBPR compliance monitoring the two previous quarters of TTHM under the past? State: IL Zip: 6 3/6/24 217-403-3373 Definition of the past of TTHM under the past? State: IL Zip: 6 3/6/24 217-403-3373 Operation to the past of TTHM under the					

Op	erational Evaluation Reporting Form	Page	2 of 2				
III. (OPERATIONAL EVALUATION FINDINGS						
A.	Did the State allow you to limit the scope of the operational evaluation?	Yes	☑ No				
	If NO, proceed to item B. If YES, attach written correspondence from the St	ate.					
D	Did the distribution system cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?	☐ Yes	☐ No				
B.	Did the distribution system cause of contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?	Nossibly					
	If NO, proceed to item C. If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pagnecessary):	ges if					
	The water distribution system in the location of the sample sites is older, co has hydraulic issues with water age and looping. The water main materials of the sample site is conducive to corroding, mineral build up and TTHM for	in the loc	<u>d</u> ation				
		Yes	X No				
C.	Did the treatment system cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?	☐ Possibl	y				
	If NO, proceed to item D. If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pages if necessary): The Village of Mt. Zion does not have a WTP. Rather the Village purchases bulk source water from the City of Decatur. The City does have a WTP and has futue plans for WTP improvements to reduce TTHM in the source water.						
D.	Did source water quality cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?	Yes Possible	□ No				
	If NO, proceed to item E. If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pagnecessary):	-					
	Yes, the source water from the City of Decatur does have varying levels of residuals, and organics. The Village cannot control the incoming level of The City's source water is Lake Decatur, which sees high organics and alg	TTHM.					
E.	Attach all supporting operational or other data that support the determination of the of your OEL exceedance(s).	he cause(s))				
F.	If you are unable to determine the cause(s) of the OEL exceedance(s), list the stermine can use to better identify the cause(s) in the future (attach additional pages if new The Village has increased sampling of Cl residuals, organics and TTHM in	essary):					
	water and Village system. The increased monitoring helps make operation. The Village has increased flushing of the water distribution system.	al actions.	·				
G.	List steps that could be considered to minimize future OEL exceedances (attach pages if necessary)						
	Due to poor material condition, age, corrosion, size of the water mains and limitations near the sample site, the Village is requesting a new TTHM sample site.		<u>; </u>				
	The Village is continuing the increased flushing and sampling.	iipic site.					
H.	Total Number of Pages Submitted, Including Attachments and Checklists:3						

This Agency is authorized to require this information under 415 ILCS 5. Failure to disclose this information may result in a civil penalty of not to exceed \$50,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during which the violation continues (415 ILCS 5/42). This has been approved by the Forms Management Center. IL532-2979

So	urce W	Vater	Evaluation Checklist	Page	e 1 of 2	
X	NO DATA	AVAIL	ABLE			
•	tem Name		City of Decatur Water			
Che	ecklist Con	npleted	by: Date:			
A.	Do you h	ave so	urce water temperature data?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	f NO, p	roceed	I to item B. If YES, was the source water temperature	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	NO, proceed to item B. If YES, answer the following questions for the time period prior					
	o the O Yes	No No	ceedance.			
			Was the raw water storage time longer than usual?			
	_		Did you place another water source on-line?			
			Were river/reservoir flow rates lower than usual? If yes, indicate lower flow rates and the anticipated impact on the OEL exceeds		n of	
			Did point or non-point sources in the watershed contribute to the			
	_	_	exceedance?			
B.			ta that characterizes organic matter in your source water (e.g., VA, color, THM formation potential)?	Yes	☐ No	
			I to item C. If YES, were these values higher than normal?	Yes	☐ No	
			I to item C. If YES, answer the following questions for the tim	e period p	rior	
	Yes	No No	ceedance.			
		17.10	Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?			
			Did you place another water source on-line?			
			Did lake or reservoir turnover occur?			
			Did point or non-point sources in the watershed contribute to the exceedance?	∍ OEL		
			Did an algal bloom occur in the source water?			
		13 Tu	If algal blooms were present, were appropriate algae control me employed (e.g. addition of copper sulfate)?	asures		
			Did a taste and odor incident occur?			
C.	Do you h	ave so	urce water bromide data?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
			to item D. If YES, were the bromide levels higher or lower	☐ Yes	□ No	
	han normal? NO, proceed to item D. If YES, answer the following questions for the time period prior					
	o the O	EL ex	ceedance.			
	Yes	No	Has saltwater intrusion occurred?			
	<u> </u>	_				
			Are you experiencing a long-term drought?			
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?			
			Did you place another water source on-line?			
			Are you aware of any industrial spills in the watershed?			

Sc	ource W	/ate	r Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 2 of 2		
D.	Do you h	ave so	ource water turbidity or particle count data?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	If NO,	☐ Yes	☐ No				
	counts higher than normal? If NO, proceed to item E. If YES, answer the following questions for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance. Yes No						
		10 to	Did lake or reservoir turnover occur?				
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?				
			Did logging, fires, or landslides occur in the watershed?				
			Were river/reservoir flow rates higher than normal?				
E.	Do you h	ave so	ource water pH or alkalinity data?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	If NO,		eed to item F. If YES, was the pH or alkalinity different from	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	If NO,	proce	eed to item F. If YES, answer the following questions for the OEL exceedance.	time perio	d		
			Was there an algal bloom in the source water?				
			If algal blooms were present, were algae control measures emp	oloyed?			
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?				
			Has the PWS experienced diurnal pH changes in source water	?			
F.	Conclus	ion					
	Did cour	oo wat	tor quality factors contribute to your OEL exceedance?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	Dia Souri	se wai	ter quality factors contribute to your OEL exceedance?	Possi	bly		
	If YES	or P	OSSIBLY, explain below.				
The Village of Mt. Zion does not have the City of Decatur Source Water Data for this section. The source water does contribute to the OEL Exceedance as there is TTHM coming in as bulk purchased water prior to entering the Village water distribution system.							
	The City's source is Lake Decatur, which may contribute to higher organic levels, which						
_1	reacts to f	orm T	THM. This fall 2023, saw drought conditions in Central Ill	inois, incl	uding		
			The Lake was lower in these drought conditions, concentration		es and		
_S	eeing hig	her le	vels of algae growth. Both of which contributes to THM for	rmation.			
_							
I —							

This Agency is authorized to require this information under 415 ILCS 5. Failure to disclose this information may result in a civil penalty of not to exceed \$50,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during which the violation continues (415 ILCS 5/42). This has been approved by the Forms Management Center. IL532-2980 PWS 293 01/2013

Trea	atment	Proc	ess Evaluation Checklist	Paç	ge 1 of 4		
	DATA A						
	ty Name: klist Comp		ity of Decatur Water by: Date:				
Α.	Review finished water data for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance(s) and compare to						
	historical finished water data using the following questions:						
		-	cursors (TOC, DOC, SUVA, bromide, etc.) higher than normal?	Yes	□ No		
	Was fini	shed w	vater pH higher or lower than normal?	Yes	☐ No		
	Was the	finishe	☐ Yes	☐ No			
	Was fini	shed w	vater turbidity higher than normal?	Yes	☐ No		
	Was the	disinfe	ectant concentration leaving the plant(s) higher than normal?	Yes	☐ No		
			water TTHM/HAA5 levels higher than normal?	Yes	☐ No		
			nal and water quality data available to the system operator for on making?	Yes	☐ No		
B.	Does the	treatm	nent process include pre-disinfection?	Yes	☐ No		
			ed to item C. If YES, answer the following questions for the p	period in w	hich		
	Yes	No No	eedance occurred:				
			Was disinfected raw water stored for an unusually long time?				
			Were treatment plant flows lower than normal?				
			Were treatment plant flows equally distributed among different	trains?			
			Were water temperatures high or warmer than usual?				
			Were chlorine feed rates outside the normal range?				
			Was a disinfectant residual present in the treatment train follow	ing predisir	nfection?		
			Were online instruments utilized for process control?				
			Did you switch to free chlorine as the oxidant?				
			Was there a recent change (or addition) of pre-oxidant?				
			Did you change the location of the pre-disinfection application?				
C.	Does voi	ır treatı	ment process include presedimentation?	☐ Yes	□ No		
0.	•		ed to item D. If YES, answer the following questions for the p				
	an OE Yes	EL exce	eedance occurred:				
			Were flows low?				
			Were flows high?				
			Were online instruments utilized for process control?				
			Was sludge removed from the presedimentation basin?				
			Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time	?			
			Do you add a coagulant to your presedimentation basin?				
			Was there a problem with the coagulant feed?				

Tr	eatmen	t Pro	ocess Evaluation Checklist	Page	e 2 of 4			
D.	Does your treatment process include coagulation and/or flocculation? If NO, proceed to item E. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No							
			Were there any feed pump failures or were feed pumps operating rates?	g at impro	per feed			
			Were chemical feed systems controlled by flow pacing?					
			Were there changes in coagulation practices or the feed point?					
			Did you change the type or manufacturer of the coagulant?					
			Do you suspect that the coagulant in use at the time of the OEL on the meet industry standards?	exceedand	ce did			
			Did the pH or alkalinity change at the point of coagulant addition?	?				
			Were there broken or plugged mixers?					
			Were flow rates above the design rate or was there short-circuiting	ng?				
E.	Does you	r treatr	ment process include sedimentation or clarification?	☐ Yes	☐ No			
			ed to item F. If YES, answer the following questions for the pe eedance occurred:	riod in w	hich			
			Were there changes in plant flow rate that may have resulted in a settling time or carry-over of process solids?	a decrease	e in			
			Were settled water turbidities higher than normal?					
			Was there any disruption in the sludge blanket that may have resto the point of disinfection?	sulted in ca	arryover			
			Was there any maintenance in the basin that may have stirred slubottom of the basin and caused it to carry over to the point of disingular addition?		the			
			Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time of malfunction in the sludge removal equipment?	or was the	re a			

Tr	<u>eatmen</u>	t Pro	ocess Evaluation Checklist	Page 3 of 4		
F.	Does you	r treatr	ment process include filtration?	Yes No		
	If NO, proceed to item G. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No					
			Was there an increase in individual or combined filter effluent turbic counts?	dity or particle		
			Was there an increase in turbidity or particle loading onto the filters	;?		
	13.1		Was there an increase in flow onto the filters or malfunction of the controllers?	rate of flow		
			Were any filters taken off-line for an extended period of time that ca filters to operate near maximum design capacity and creating the opossible breakthrough?			
			Were any filters operated beyond their normal filter run time?			
			Were there any unusual spikes in individual filter effluent turbidity (indicate particulate or colloidal TOC breakthrough) in the days lead excursion?			
	15 15 10 25		Were all filters run in a filter-to-waste mode during initial filter ripen	ing?		
			If GAC filters are used, is it possible the adsorptive capacity of the reached before reactivation occurred (leave blank if not applicable)			
			If biological filtration is used, were there any process upsets that m resulted in the breakthrough of TOC (leave blank if not applicable)			
G.	prior to a	a clear proce	tment process include primary disinfection by injecting chlorine well? ed to item H. If YES, answer the following questions for the peripedance occurred:	Yes No		
			Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed or an in chlorine residual?	crease in the		
			Was there an increase in clearwell holding time?			
			Was the plant shut down or were plant flows low?			
			Was there an increase in clearwell water temperature?			
	(5.1) (1.7)		Did you switch to free chlorine recently as the primary disinfectant?)		
			Was the inactivation of Giardia and/or viruses exceptionally high?			
			Was there a change in the mixing strategy (i.e. mixers not used, actank level)?	ljustment of		
Н.	Does you	r plant	recycle spent filter backwash or other streams?	Yes No		
		-	ed to item I. If YES, answer the following questions for the perioeedance occurred:	od in which		
			Did a change in the recycle stream quality contribute to increased loading that was not addressed by treatment plant processes?	DBP precursor		
ì	200		Did a recycle event result in flows in excess of typical or design flow	ws?		

Tı	reatmer	nt Pr	ocess Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 4 of 4		
I.	system re	ésidua , proc e	disinfectant after your clearwell to maintain a distribution al? eed to item J. If YES, answer the following questions for the poseedance occurred:	Yes eriod in w	□ No rhich		
			Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed?				
			Was there a switch from chloramines to free chlorine for a burno	out period?	,		
			If using chloramines, was the chlorine to ammonia ratio in the pr	roper range	e?		
			Was there a problem with either chlorine or ammonia mixing?				
J.	J. Did concern about complying with a rule other than Stage 2 DBPR, such as the Lead and Copper rule, the LT2ESWTR, or any other rule constrain your options to reduce the DBP levels at this site? For example, are you limited by other treatment targets/requirements in your ability to control precursors in coagulation/flocculation? If NO, proceed to item K. If YES, explain below and consult EPA's Simultaneous Compliance Guidance Manual for alternative compliance approaches.						
-							
_							
K.	Conclus	ion					
	Did treatm OEL exce		actors and/or variations in the plant performance contribute to the e(s)?	Yes Possik	□ No oly		
	If YES	or Po	OSSIBLY, explain below.				
	this section level of co	n. It is ontribu	Mt. Zion does not have the City of Decatur Treatment Process spresumed that since there is TTHM in the City's source wat attion of OEL Exceedance is from the WTP. The City has state WTP improvement aimed at TTHM reduction.	ter, that so	ome		
_							

Di	stributi	on S	ystem Evaluation Checklist	Page	e 1 of 2		
•	tem Name		Village of Mt. Zion				
Che	cklist Com	pleted	by: Michael Buzicky Date: 2/2	29/24			
A.	location w	vhere y proce	sinfectant residual or temperature data for the monitoring you experienced the OEL exceedance? ed to item B. If YES, answer the following questions for the	Yes period in v	☐ No which		
			eedance occurred:				
	Yes	No	We the water terms and we high anthony are and for the time of	41	ul 4		
	2.5	V	Was the water temperature higher than normal for that time of location?	·			
	X		Was the disinfectant residual lower than normal for that time of location?	the year at	that		
		X	Was the disinfectant residual higher than normal for that time of location?	of the year a	t that		
B.	Do you ha		aintenance records available for the time period just prior to the e?	X Yes	☐ No		
	If NO,	proce	ed to item C. If YES, answer the following questions:				
	Yes	No					
	(3 L) (1.75)	X	Did any line breaks or replacements occur in the vicinity of the	exceedance	∍?		
	10 to	X	Were any storage tanks or reservoirs taken off-line and cleane	d?			
	X		Did flushing or other hydraulic disturbances (e.g., fires) occur in the exceedance?	n the vicinity	of of		
	3 to 10 to 1	X	Were any valves operated in the vicinity of the OEL exceedance	es?			
C.	water use	at ind	metered, do you have access to historical records showing ividual service connections?	X Yes	☐ No		
			ed to item D. If YES, was overall water use in your system bw, indicating higher than normal water age?	☐ Yes	X No		
D.	Do you ha		h-volume customers in your system (e.g., an industrial t)?	Yes	X No		
		-	ed to item E. If YES, was there a change in water use by a e customer?	Yes	X No		
E.			ed water storage facility hydraulically upstream from the ion where you experienced the OEL exceedance?	Yes	X No		
	If NO, proceed to item F. If YES, review storage facility operations and water quality data to answer the following questions for the period in which the OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No						
			Was a disinfectant residual detected in the stored water or at the	ne tank outle	et?		
			Do you know of any mixing problems with the tank or reservoir	?			
			Does the facility operate in "last in-first out" mode?				
			Was the tank or reservoir drawn down more than usual prior to exceedance, indicating a possible discharge of stagnant water				
			Was there a change in water level fluctuations that would have increased water age within the tank or reservoir?	resulted in			

Distribution System Evaluation Checklist	Page	2 of 2
F. Does your system practice booster chlorination?	X Yes	☐ No
If NO, proceed to item G. If YES, was there an increase in booster chlorination feed rates?	Yes	⊠ No
G. Did you have customer complaints in the vicinity of the OEL exceedance? If NO, proceed to item H. If YES, explain.	Yes	⊠ No
II NO, proceed to item H. II 1E3, explain.		
H. Did concern about complying with a rule other than Stage 2 DBPR, such as the Lead and Copper rule, the TCR, or any other rule constrain your options to reduce the DBP levels at this site? For example, are you limited by the need to maintain a detectable disinfectant residual in your ability to control DBP levels in the distribution system?	X Yes	□No
If NO, proceed to item I. If YES, explain below and consult EPA's Simulta Compliance Guidance Manual for alternative compliance approaches.	aneous	
The Village does have to balance Chlorine residual levels, so as to meet the m	inimum	
required residual levels by Code, with trying to also minimize Chlorine feed l	evels to re	duce
Disinfection By-Product formation. The Village's system has 1 Chlorine feed		
large, oddly shaped and routed water system. Chlorine feed is a challenge wh	nen balanc	ing
these two ends of the spectrum.		
I. Conclusion Did the distribution system cause or contribute to the OEL exceedance(s)?	☑ Possibl	□ No y
If NO, proceed to evaluations of treatment systems and source water. If Yeons Possibly, explain below.	YES or	
The Village's Water Distribution System is large, oddly shaped or routed, with		
smaller, poor quality water mains in locations. These worse mains happen to sample sites are, which contributes to localized issues with TTHM formation.		he
Sample sites are, which contributes to localized issues with 1 111W formation.		

This Agency is authorized to require this information under 415 ILCS 5. Failure to disclose this information may result in a civil penalty of not to exceed \$50,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during which the violation continues (415 ILCS 5/42). This has been approved by the Forms Management Center. IL532-2978